

# Proposal Evaluation Form



EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Horizon Europe (HORIZON)

Evaluation  
Summary Report –  
Coordination and  
support actions

Call: HORIZON-MISS-2021-COOR-01  
Type of action: HORIZON-CSA  
Proposal number: 123456789  
Proposal acronym: CRISTINA  
Duration (months): 24  
Proposal title: Eveniment GeoEcoMar 24 noiembrie 2022  
Activity: HORIZON-MISS-2021-COOR-01-01

N.	Proposer name	Country	Total Cost	%	Grant Requested	%
1	XXXXXXXXXXXXX	AT	394,050	19.71%	394,050	19.71%
2	YYYYYYYYYYY	BE	68,325	3.42%	68,325	3.42%
3	ZZZZZZZZZZZ	SE	155,000	7.75%	155,000	7.75%
4	WWWWWWW	FI	95,000	4.75%	95,000	4.75%
5	Ministerul Cercetarii, Inovarii si Digitalizarii	RO	29,100	1.46%	29,100	1.46%
Total:			741,475		741,475	

## Abstract:

The main objectives of the proposed project are to

## Evaluation Summary Report

### Evaluation Result

Total score: 10.00 (Threshold: 10)

### Criterion 1 – Excellence

Score: 3.50 (Threshold: 3/5.00 , Weight: -)

The following aspects will be taken into account, to the extent that the proposed work corresponds to the description in the work programme:

- Clarity and pertinence of the project's objectives.
- Quality of the proposed coordination and/or support measures including soundness of methodology.

*The clarity and pertinence of the project's objectives are very good. The individual objectives are achievable, however, they are not clearly measurable or verifiable. This is a shortcoming.*

*The overall objective of the proposal is to foster cooperation and coordination between EU-level and complementary national, regional and local actions in support of the implementation of .....*

*The methodological approach is not convincingly effective to deliver the expected project objectives in certain key areas. ....*

### Criterion 2 – Impact

Score: 3.00 (Threshold: 3/5.00 , Weight: -)

The following aspects will be taken into account, to the extent that the proposed work corresponds to the description in the work programme:

- Credibility of the pathways to achieve the expected outcomes and impacts specified in the work programme, and the likely scale and significance of the contributions due to the project.
- Suitability and quality of the measures to maximise expected outcomes and impacts, as set out in the dissemination and exploitation plan, including communication activities.

*The credibility of the pathways to achieve the expected outcomes and impacts specified in the work programme, and the likely scale and significance of the contributions from the project to the expected outcomes and wider impacts mentioned in the work programme, are good.*

*Although each of the expected outcomes is defined, they are not credibly addressed and have insufficient detail to be convincing.*

*The baseline details are suitably elaborated. However, benchmarks and assumptions necessary to assess each topic outcome are not*

**Criterion 3 – Quality and efficiency of the implementation**

Score: **3.50** (Threshold: 3/5.00 , Weight: -)

The following aspects will be taken into account, to the extent that the proposed work corresponds to the description in the work programme:

- Quality and effectiveness of the work plan, assessment of risks, and appropriateness of the effort assigned to work packages, and the resources overall.
- Capacity and role of each participant, and extent to which the consortium as a whole brings together the necessary expertise.

*The quality and efficiency of the work plan and the appropriateness of resources overall are good.*

*The capacity and role of each participant, and the extent to which the consortium as a whole brings together the necessary expertise are very good.*

**Scope of the application**

Status: **Yes**

Comments (in case the proposal is out of scope)

*Not provided*

**Exceptional funding**

*A third country participant/international organisation not listed in [the General Annex to the Main Work Programme](#) may exceptionally receive funding if their participation is essential for carrying out the project (for instance due to outstanding expertise, access to unique know-how, access to research infrastructure, access to particular geographical environments, possibility to involve key partners in emerging markets, access to data, etc.). (For more information, see the [HE programme guide](#) )*

Please list the concerned applicants and requested grant amount and explain the reasons why. Based on the information provided, the following participants should receive exceptional funding:

*Not provided*

Based on the information provided, the following participants should NOT receive exceptional funding:

*Not provided*

**Use of human embryonic stem cells (hESC)**

Status: **No**

If YES, please state whether the use of hESC is, or is not, in your opinion, necessary to achieve the scientific objectives of the proposal and the reasons why. Alternatively, please state if it cannot be assessed whether the use of hESC is necessary or not, because of a lack of information.

*Not provided*

**Use of human embryos**

Status: **No**

If YES, please explain how the human embryos will be used in the project.

*Not provided*

**Activities excluded from funding**

Status: **No**

If YES, please explain.

*Not provided*

**Do no significant harm principle**

Status: **Not applicable**

If Partially/No/Cannot be assessed please explain

*Not provided*

**Exclusive focus on civil applications**

Status: **Yes**

If **NO**, please explain.

*Not provided*

**Artificial Intelligence**

Status: **No**

If **YES**, the technical robustness of the proposed system must be evaluated under the appropriate criterion.

**Overall comments**

*Not provided*



Digitally sealed by the European Commission  
Date: 2021.12.15 12:10:29 CET

This electronic receipt is a digitally signed version of the document submitted by your organisation. Both the content of the document and a set of metadata have been digitally sealed.

This digital signature mechanism, using a public-private key pair mechanism, uniquely binds this eReceipt to the modules of the Funding & Tenders Portal of the European Commission, to the transaction for which it was generated and ensures its full integrity. Therefore a complete digitally signed trail of the transaction is available both for your organisation and for the issuer of the eReceipt.

Any attempt to modify the content will lead to a break of the integrity of the electronic signature, which can be verified at any time by clicking on the eReceipt validation symbol.

More info about eReceipts can be found in the FAQ page of the Funding & Tenders Portal.

(<https://ec.europa.eu/info/funding-tenders/opportunities/portal/screen/support/faq>)